APPLICATION OF

PHONE1, INC.

EXHIBIT III

Financial Statements

As proof of its financial capability, Phone1, Inc. is providing the financial statements of its parent company, Phone1GlobalWide

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004

PHONE1GLOBALWIDE, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware

333-05188-A (Commission File No.) 65-0669842 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

100 N. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2500

Miami, Florida 33132

(Address of principal executive offices, Zip Code)

(305) 371-3300

(Issuer's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value

(Title of class)

Check whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during

the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 5-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. o

The registrant's revenues for its most recent fiscal year \$14,150,177

State the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 15, 2004 computed by reference to the average bid and asked prices of such stock: \$53,564,702.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 144,778,423 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value as of June 15, 2004.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes o No x

PHONE1GLOBALWIDE, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Item 2. Description of Property

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market Price for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations

Item Financial Statements

Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

" Item 8A Controls and Procedures

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executors, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Item Executive Compensation

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Matters

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

SIGNATURES

CERTIFICATIONS

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

FORWARD-LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

Sections of this Form 10-KSB, including the Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation, contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. You should not unduly rely on these statements. Forward-looking statements involve assumptions and describe our plans, strategies, and expectations. You can generally identify a forward-looking statement by words such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend, contemplate or project. This report contains forward-looking statements that address, among other things,

- our business and financing plans,
- regulatory environments in which we operate or plan to operate, and
- trends affecting our financial condition or results of operations, the impact of competition, the start-up of certain operations, roll out of products and services and acquisition opportunities.

Factors, risks, and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, among others,

our ability to raise capital,

our ability to obtain and retain distribution for our products and services,

our ability to roll out products and services,

our ability to provide our products and services at competitive rates,

our ability to execute our business strategy in a very competitive environment,

our degree of financial leverage,

risks associated with acquiring and integrating companies into our own,

risks associated with rolling out products and services,

risks relating to rapidly developing technology and providing services over the Internet;

regulatory considerations and risks related to international economies,

risks related to market acceptance and demand for our products and services,

our dependence on third party suppliers and technology developers,

the impact of competitive services,

risks associated with economic conditions and continued weakness in the U.S. securities market and

other risks referenced from time to time in our SEC filings.

With respect to any forward-looking statement that includes a statement of its underlying assumptions or bases, we caution that, while we believe such assumptions or bases to be reasonable and have formed them in good faith, assumed facts or bases almost always vary from actual results, and the differences between assumed facts or bases and actual results can be material depending on the circumstances. When we express an expectation or belief as to future results, that expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and is believed to have a reasonable basis, but we cannot assure that the stated expectation or belief will result or be achieved or accomplished. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or anyone acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. We do not undertake any obligations to publicly release any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect unanticipated events that may occur.

The terms "Phone1Globalwide," the "Company," "we," "our," and "us" as used in this report refer to Phone1Globalwide and/or its subsidiaries, as the context may require.

2

PART I

Corporate background

We were initially organized on May 17, 1996 in Florida, under the name Win-Gate Equity Group, Inc., for the purpose of locating and effecting businesses with existing businesses. We have since changed our name to Phone1Globalwide Inc. and reincorporated as a Delaware corporation. On January 21, 2000, we acquired all of the stock of Globaltron Communications Corporation ("GCC"), a Delaware corporation. On June 13, 2001, we also acquired all of the stock of Phone1, Inc. ("Phone1"), a Florida corporation, for 12.0 million restricted shares of our common stock and the assumption of approximately \$3.0 million of outstanding debt.

On November 16, 2001, we entered into a joint venture agreement with MTG Interconnection LC ("MTG"), a Florida limited liability company, to form Phone1 Smart, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. We own 51% of Phone1 Smart which has not provided any substantial services during the year ended March 31, 2004 ("Fiscal Year 2004").

Our executive office is located at 100 North Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 2500, Miami, Florida 33132 and our telephone number is (305) 371-3300.

Overview

We are a United States based telecommunications company. Our current primary telecommunications offerings are coin sent services from public payphones and wholesale carrier services. Since the third quarter of the Fiscal Year 2004 we also have begun to offer operator assisted calling and collect call services.

The coin sent services over public payphone are conducted by Phone1. The core product of Phone1 consists of direct dialed domestic and international long distance calls to most countries in the world for \$.25 to \$1.00. The duration of a call depends on its destination. The product is designed for use by immigrants and tourists, as a simple way to stay in touch with family and friends and is available from payphones in the United States. Each call is rated according to a preset pricing structure consisting of a number of minutes for the destination dialed by the customer.

The customer dials 011+ the country code and destination for international calls and 1+ the number for domestic calls. After dialing the number and prior to depositing the coins a voice prompt tells the customer how many minutes such customer will be able to talk for a predetermined amount of money, which varies depending on the destination. The call is routed to our platform, which provides fraud detection and prevention as well as generates call detail records. The platform then processes the call and switches it to our network. We are inter-connected with several major carriers as well as carrier service providers to specific countries. The customer recognizes the service availability by our bright yellow signature handset installed on the payphone.

As of March 31, 2004, we provided Phone1 service in approximately 100,000 smart payphones and 1,000 dumb phones nationwide. These payphones are operated by independent phone companies as well as Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOC). We plan to continue the roll-out of our Phone1 services nationwide, utilizing our existing VOIP/ATM switching networks as well as other third party telecommunication providers.

3

We also offer telephone traffic to United States-based local and long distance (domestic and international) companies to termination points outside the United States. This business is conducted through GCC. Our switch facilities are capable of processing long distance calls as arbitrage sales and carrier sales. We currently have two main switch facilities, one located in New York, New York and the other in Miami, Florida. The two locations are interconnected by a backbone with different vendors for redundancy purposes. The switches support different types of signaling to accommodate our customers and vendors.

Arbitrage sales occur when we transport an international long distance call to a foreign destination where we do not maintain a facility. In these cases, we transport the call to the foreign destination based upon agreements between us and various third party telecommunications carriers. Arbitrage sales represented approximately 47.5% of our revenues for Fiscal Year 2004. We do not do carrier sales.

We have also successfully tested and begun the roll-out of operator assisted calling and collect call services in January 2003. The service is currently in place for payphones at airports in Atlanta, Georgia, Charlotte, North Carolina, Louisville, Kentucky and Greenville, South Carolina. The service can be programmed on various payphone types and we intend to roll out the services throughout the country including 50 airports that we currently provide Phone1 services. Additionally, in December 2003 we have contracted with China Network Communications Group to provide operator assisted calls for calls from payphones in China to the United States, we intend to roll our this service during the ending December 31, 2004. The access will be made available from payphones located in airports throughout the China Network hications coverage area. The calls can be made collect or can be paid for with a credit card, calling card or can be billed to a third party. We also plan to promote an 800 access collect call and credit card billed call program with China Network.

We are entering into strategic alliances with local telecommunication companies (PTTs) in the countries that have a substantial number of immigrants in the United States. We intend to launch in conjunction with different PTTs, such as ETB in Colombia and Codetel in the Dominican Republic, telecom products for the specific immigrant groups in the United States.

Strategy

Capitalization of increasing immigrant population

We intend to capitalize on the rapidly increasing immigrant population in the United States, initially focusing on immigrants from Latin America and the Caribbean, since they are one of the fastest growing segments of immigrants in the United States. Our plan is to provide both international and domestic long distance services through Phone1-enabled payphones at competitive rates to those immigrants.

We intent intend to capture a large portion of the calls from payphones made by these immigrants through our local brand-awareness campaigns which include the following elements:

• Yellow handsets co-branded with some of our telephone customers' logos such as Verizon, Sprint, and SBC Communications. The distinctive yellow handset with the bright green Phonel logo complemented by the customer's logo calls attention to our phone services and communicates to customers immediately that a particular phone is Phonel-enabled.

- Colorful point-of-sales ("POS") materials at the phone site advertising Phone1 services and instruction for use in multiple languages including rate information for the end-user.
- Advertising on radio, newspapers and on mass transit displays (e.g., buses, subways, airports etc.) in support of the commencement of Phone1 services.
- Sales assistance around new Phonel-enabled sites and help customers understand how to use the service, emphasizing the simplicity and inexpensive cost of the service.

Strategic alliances with PTTs

We are entering into strategic alliances with major telecommunications companies in different countries in Latin America and the Caribbean, to develop retail and commercial telecommunication services with the Phone1 brand in the United States. We believe that these alliances will help to generate name recognition for the Phonel name and increase the use of the Phonel services by immigrants from Latin America and the Caribbean.

one industry overview

Since the early eighties, the telecommunications industry has undergone a period of fundamental change, which has resulted in growth in international telecommunications traffic, driven principally by the following factors:

- Increases in the availability of telephones and the number of access lines in service around the world, stimulated by technological advances.
- Opening of overseas telecommunications markets due to deregulation and the privatization of state-owned monopoly carriers, permitting the emergence of new carriers.
 - Globalization of commerce and travel, which increases the need for communications.
 - Reduction of international long distance rates, which makes international calling available to a much larger customer base and increases traffic volumes.
 - Increased availability and quality of digital undersea fiber optic cable, which enables long distance carriers to improve the quality of their service while reducing customer access cost.
 - Increased immigration and foreign visitors to the United States.
- " " " At the time of the divestiture of AT&T " in " 1983, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") opened the public communications arena to individuals and companies to provide " payphone " services. " Over the years, the FCC " has " enacted rules to encourage " payphone expansion to meet increasing demand for " communication "."

During the late ninetees, competition intensified due to deregulation ". At " the same time " wireless access became a substitute product for those capable of paying for it ". " Finally, prepaid calling cards grew in " popularity and further eroded margins for " payphone operators."

5

We estimate "there are approximately 1.5 million "payphones in the United States." The major telecommunications companies, including Ver SBC, AT&T, Sprint, Qwest and Cincinnati Bell, dominate the "payphones market." The remaining "payphones are managed and owner by "independent payphone operators ("IPPs") and independent local exchange carriers ("LECs").

"""" Services

Phone1 Services

We offer domestic and international long distance telephone calls from third party owned payphones in the United States at competitive consumer rates. The core product consists of direct dialed international and domestic long distance calls for the amount of coins deposited to most countries in the world for \$.25 to \$1.00. The duration of a call depends on its destination. The product is designed for use by immigrants and tourists, as a simple way to stay in touch with family and friends and is available from payphones in the United States. Each call is rated according to a preset pricing structure consisting of a number of minutes for the destination dialed by the customer.

The customer dials 011+ the country code and destination for international calls and 1+ the number for domestic calls. After dialing the number and prior to depositing the coins a voice prompt tells the customer how many minutes such customer will be able to talk for a predetermined amount of money, which varies depending on the destination. The call is routed to our platform, which provides fraud detection and prevention as well as generates call detail records. The platform then processes the call and switches it to our network.

"A customer can make a call for \$.25 to \$1 in coins for from one to ten minutes." There are no hidden charges or fees for the customer as is the case with many alternative "payphone services such as calling cards. "The Phone1 program does not require continuous high distribution costs." We seek to make use of efficient media and POS materials to create customer awareness. "Payphones on which the Phone1 service is available continue to be operated and maintained by the "payphone operators. The "payphone owner is responsible for installation of signage and branded bright yellow handset that is present on almost all "payphones on which the Phone1 service is offered. As part of our agreement we generally provide the first yellow handset and the signage that goes on the payphones. Payphone operators are responsible for the replacement handsets. The standard Phone1 agreement permits payphone owners to collect their revenues immediately, unlike dial "- around commissions relating to 800 numbers in which phone calls are processed dialing around the payphone operators presubscribed carrier and which may or may not be paid, and which typically take substantially longer to collect. "We believe that these arrangements give us a

competitive advantage.

We are inter-connected with several major domestic carriers such as WilTel and Global Crossing, as well as specific international carriers that provide termination to Phone1's target market, the home countries of the largest Latin American immigrant groups such as Colombia, Peru, Meying Ecuador, Honduras and the Dominican Republic.

We provide a high quality and competitive priced product. Unlike the hidden fees associated with the prepaid calling market, we provide a product that will return all of the end users money back if the call fails to complete.

As of March 31, 2004, we provided Phone1 service in approximately 100,000 smart payphones and 1,000 dumb phones nationwide. These payphones are operated by independent phone companies as well as Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOC). We plan to continue the roll-out of our Phone1 services nationwide, utilizing our existing VOIP/ATM switching networks as well as other third party telecommunication providers.

Wholesale services

We offer telephone traffic to United States based local and long distance (domestic and international) companies to termination points outside the United States. This business is conducted through our subsidiary GCC. Our switch facilities are capable of processing long distance calls as arbitrage or carrier sales. Arbitrage sales occur when we transport an international long distance call to a foreign destination where we do not maintain a facility. In these cases, we transport the call to the foreign destination based upon agreements between us and various third party telecommunications carriers. Arbitrage sales represented approximately 47.5% of our revenues for Fiscal Year 2004. We no longer execute carrier sales because we closed the foreign facilities we owned in the first quarter of the year ended March 31, 2003 ("Fiscal Year 2003").

Operator assisted calling and collect call services

We have also successfully tested and begun the roll-out of operator assisted calling and collect call services in January 2003. The service is currently in place for payphones at airports in Atlanta, Georgia, Charlotte, North Carolina, Louisville, Kentucky and Greenville, South Carolina. The service can be programmed on various payphone types and we intend to roll out the services throughout the country including 50 airports that we currently provide Phone1 services. Additionally, in December 2003 we have contracted with China Network Communications Group to provide operator assisted calls for calls from payphones in China to the United States, we intend to roll out this service during the quarter ending December 31, 2004. The access will be made available from payphones located in airports throughout the China Network Communications coverage area. The calls can be made collect or can be paid for with a credit card, calling card or can be billed to a third party. We also plan to promote an 800 access collect call and credit card billed call program with China Network.

We plan to continue to market operator assisted calls and collect calls to our current base of payphone providers and through our domestic and international alliances.

Other Services

We are entering into strategic alliances with PTT in the countries that have a substantial number of immigrants in the United States. We intend to launch in conjunction with different PTTs such as ETB in Colombia and Codetel in the Dominican Republic, telecom products for the specific immigrant groups in the United States.



Long distance calls could not be efficiently made by dialing directly from payphones with coins due to fraud and antiquated technology. We, through third-party design teams, have developed proprietary firmware and software, enabling various models of payphones to provide Phone1

enhanced service. Certain aspects of the technology developed by us have patent pending status.

Payphones can be classified as "smart" and "dumb" phones. Smart phones employ microprocessor and memory chip technology that enables them to perform functions that dumb phones cannot perform. We estimate that smart phones represent 60% of the payphone market.

7

Smart phones

Smart payphones have an internal processor that identifies whether the call being made is local or long distance and determines the appropriate rate. Most processors are also able to play a voice prompt that tells the customer how much money to deposit. The smart phone has the means for determining complete and incomplete calls based on standard protocols in communications. It also controls the collection or refund of coins.

The smart phone technology developed in the early 80s also involves the use of methods of answer supervision and answer detection necessary to validate the call being answered by the person called from our platform. In the past, due to the non-standard ring cadence and system messages that vary throughout the world, the existing technology in the payphones did not always recognize an answered call correctly, resulting in the collection of deposited coins even if the call was not answered or in the completion of calls without the need to deposit any money.

These functions are now performed by the platform software and switches, which verifies presubscribed data base, processes the call, and serves to curtail and limit our exposure to fraud. The proprietary platform performs these functions and signals the payphone to either collect or refund the coins that are deposited. We have the ability to set predetermined caps or limits based on the phone number initiating the call. This limits our exposure to fraud.

Fraud has been a significant concern for payphone international and domestic long distance service. The problem highly impacts on international calls which usually involve higher costs to the customer. The most common type of fraud is the "clipping on," whereby existing phone wiring is modified to bypass an operator's service. In order to prevent clipping on, the operator had no alternative except to block international or domestic calls through the telephone company central office.

In collaboration with smart phone manufacturers, we developed technology that allows 011 international calls to be blocked at the central office without disabling international calling from the payphone. This is accomplished via access numbers and pin numbers preprogrammed into the smart phone which interact with our platform data base in order for the call to be placed. This process is transparent to the customer, who dials, deposits the coins and hears the call progress tones in the same manner as if he were using a dumb phone. Payphones enabled with our specially designed versions of firmware and software are capable of performing all of these functions.

Dumb phones

We have been exploring ways to extend our service to dumb payphones without compromising the quality of our service or increasing our exposure to fraud. In March 2004, we entered into a ten-year exclusive agreement with CoSpeed LLC, a manufacturer of hardware for telecom operators, for the rights to market, distribute, install, use and maintain proprietary technology that allows dumb payphones to provide for domestic 1 + toll calls, 011 + international calls and other calls to be routed to our Phone1 network. This technology also features voice prompts that announce the rate to the customer, as well as antifraud control.

Dumb phones must incorporate our platform-driven enhancements since there is no microprocessor included in the phone. This technological tion allows us to expand the Phone1 market beyond smart payphones. We have entered into an agreements in December 2002 to offer service to a RBOC representing an aggregate of approximately 170,000 payphones. The vast majority of these payphones use dumb phone technology.

Licensing and Development



CoSpeed smart payphone technology.

On March 22, 2004, Phone 1, Inc. entered into a ten-year exclusive license agreement with CoSpeed to market, distribute, install, use and maintain CoSpeed's proprietary technology in payphones. Phone 1 currently has contractual agreements for placement in up to 170,000 RBOC payphones. When installed in a dumb phone, the device allows for domestic 1+ toll calls and 011+ international calls as well as other calls to be routed to the Phone 1 network. Voice prompts announce the rate for the called destination. Once the coin is deposited and our antifraud control technology approves it, the call is routed to the Phone 1 platform. This technology allows various rates and route codes to any destination in the world. The product also provides alarm features and communicates with a Management System Software available for UNIX and Windows-based applications.

This technology effectively converts dumb phones to smart technology thus allowing us to expand our potential market. The product can be integrated into approximately one million existing payphones in North America.

Since the execution of this agreement, we have been working with CoSpeed in the customization of certain technical features of the software to adapt it to our customer's requirements. We are currently in the process of installing approximately 15,000 units of this software on our customer's dumb phones.

Proprietary firmware in Protel phones.

On July 24, 2001, Phone I entered into an agreement with Protel Inc., a leading manufacturer of smart payphone technology, to install programmer in Protel smart phones that allows for an increase, from nine to 290, in the number of country codes that can be defined and rated or priced differently. Firmware can be installed exclusively in Protel smart phones that feature Phone I service. We also have the exclusive right to deploy proprietary answer detection (a method of signaling) capability. This function enables the customer to hear the ringing or busy signal as the call progresses, and thereby aids in customer satisfaction when collecting or refunding the coins deposited. It also controls the duration period of each deposit for compatibility with Phone I's billing platform. We estimate that there are currently approximately 650,000 Protel brand smart payphones deployed in the United States.

This agreement has a term of five years, with one five-year renewal upon mutual agreement between the parties.

QuorTech routing software.

In June 2002, we entered into an agreement with QuorTech Solutions, Inc., a telecommunications software developer, to exclusively license a specially developed software version of QuorTech's family of products. The software routes international coin type calls to our platform. The proprietary version of the software specially designed for us includes enhancements that increase the rating table for international calls to at least 100 destinations at different rates and incorporates answer detection capability from our platform. It also provides a precise call duration control for billing purposes. We estimate that there are currently over 800,000 payphones installed throughout the United States and Canada that incorporate QuorTech technology. The terms and conditions of the agreement, including pricing, implementation and enhancement of the software, are being renegotiated with QuorTech.

This licensing agreement has a term of three years and is automatically renewed for twelve-months terms unless either party communicates to the other its intention to terminate the agreement.

Software development.

From time to time, we enter into agreements with third-party software engineers, designers and consultants for the development of technology and software. On February 2003, we entered into a license agreement with a third party vendor to develop and license to us, on an exclusive basic sustom-designed scripts to be embedded in the licensor's software program, which provides switch control to interact with the protocols of the bublic network and our platform. The scripts define the sequence to be dialed out by the payphone in a specific cadence and validates the phone number from where the call is being placed. The scripts are configured to provide voice prompts requesting the correct amount of coins for the destination dialed, sense the coins deposited and provide the appropriate coin collection phone to our daughter board. We intend to acquire an exclusive, perpetual, worldwide license for the scripts for one year with the option of buying a longer period. The software in which the scripts are embedded will remain the sole property of the licensor; however, the licensor may not use the software that includes our scripts, for itself or for the benefit of any other person. We are renegotiating the terms of this agreement with the third party vendor in order to modify capacity requirements and other terms under the agreement.

On April 15, 2002 we entered into an agreement with two parties for consulting and engineering services. Under the agreement they were responsible for providing engineering services for network interface testing and system evaluations, prototype design and testing services for products and for the design and translation between LECs and IXCs systems that operate coin operated system and regulated payphones systems. (See Part 1 item 3.)

Payphone operators

The agreements discussed below call for the rollout of our Phone1 service over a specified period of time. We anticipate that we will need to raise substantial additional capital in order to achieve the rollout of our products. To be able to generate revenues from our Phone1 service, we must first enter into service agreements with payphone service providers. Since the launching of our Phone1 service in 2001, we have entered into agreements with over a hundred payphone service providers that operate approximately one million payphones.

We are actively seeking additional funding from a variety of sources to roll out our services, including potential issuances of our securities in one or more private transactions. However, we make no assurances that we will be able to obtain such financing or, if obtained, that it will be on as profitable to us. We may incur substantial costs in obtaining financing and any equity financing will likely result in significant dilution of existing shareholders.

The following are our principal agreements with payphone service providers:

- December 10, 2002 agreement with SBC Services, Inc. SBC payphones are located in 13 states in the United States. As of the end of
 Fiscal Year 2004, we have rolled out our Phone1 service in approximately 30,000 SBC payphones. We expect to begin the rollout of
 our Phone1 service in 100,000 SBC payphones over the next 12 months. This agreement, which has been amended, has a term of five
 years.
- July 29, 2002 agreement with Telesector Resources Group, Inc.(a Verizon company). Their payphones are mainly located in New York City. We began the rollout of our Phone1 service during the third quarter of 2002. We have installed our Phone1 service in over 45,000 Verizon payphones and plan to install the service in an additional 45,000 payphones during the next three years. This agreement has a term of three years.

10

- July 1, 2002 agreement with Qwest Interprise America for payphones located outside their operational regions for LEC services. We began the rollout of our Phone1 service in April 03, 2003. We have installed our Phone1 service in over 10 locations before Qwest sold its out of region payphones under this agreement. We are now in negotiations with Qwest to roll-out the Phone1 service in Qwest approximately 60,000 in region payphones through it's recently announce agreement with FSH Communications.
- January 14, 2002 agreement with Sprint Corporation. Sprint payphones are mainly located in Southeast, Midwest and Southwest. We began the roll-out of our Phone1 service in April 2002. At March 31, 2004, we had installed our Phone1 service in 1,352 Sprint payphones and plan to install the service in an additional 2,500 payphones by January 2005. This agreement has a term of three years.

Sales and marketing

Our sales and marketing approach is to build long-term business relationships with payphone operators such as SBC, Verizon, AT&T, Primus, IDT to offer our Phone1 and carrier services. On the Phone1 side, a team of three sales and marketing representatives focus primarily on the RB and several hundred independent payphone operators. During the year end March 31, 2004 we had one sale person on the wholesale business side locating potential customers by several methods, including customer referral, market research, cold-calling, and networking alliances.

Competition

The markets in which we currently operate and plan to operate are extremely competitive and can be significantly influenced by the marketing and pricing decisions of larger industry participants. Some of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources than us. As a result, they may be able to devote more funds to the promotion of their business. In addition, there are barriers to entry in many of the telecommunications and internet markets in which we compete and plan to compete. We expect competition in these markets to intensify in the future.

We compete for customers primarily based on price and, to a lesser extent, on the type and quality of service offered. Our competitors include large, facilities-based, multinational carriers and smaller facilities-based long distance service providers, pre-paid phone cards and dial-around services providers.

Increased competition could force us to reduce our prices and profit margins if competitors are able to procure rates or enter into service agreements that are comparable to or better than those we obtain, or if they are able to offer other incentives to existing and potential customers. We could also face significant pricing pressure if we experience a decrease in the volume of minutes that we carry on our network, as our ability to obtain favorable rates from our carriers depends, to a significant extent, on our total volume of international long distance call traffic. There is no guarantee that we will be able to maintain the volume of international long distance traffic necessary to obtain favorable rates. The development by a competitor of a similar or superior product or pricing competition may result in a decrease of our revenues.



I 1

Employees

As of March 31, 2004, we employed 39 individuals on a full time basis. We believe that our future success will depend on our continued ability to attract and retain highly skilled and qualified employees. None of our employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that our relations with employees are good.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our corporate headquarters and principal executive offices and network operations center is located at 100 North Biscayne Blvd., Miami, Florida, where we occupy approximately 12,980 square feet under a lease that expires in November 2009. Annual rentals are as follows:

Lease Year	Annual Rental
2004	\$350,460
2005	\$364,478
200	\$379,016
2007	\$394,202
2008	\$409,908

We also pay certain expenses relating to the premises. On April 21, 2003, we filed suit against the landlord of this property seeking a determination that we had been constructively evicted from the premises due to inadequate ventilation and poor air quality. Please refer to "Item Legal Proceedings" below for additional information. The relocation of our offices could disrupt our business and materially and advantage affect our operations.

Our corporate headquarters and principal executive office was previously located in New York, New York, where it had occupied approximately 4,749 square feet under a lease that expires in July 2010. We entered into sublease agreement with a third party to occupy this facility. This sublease agreement is set to expire in July 2010.

We also lease co-location space for our telephonic switching equipment in New York, New York. Currently, the lease cost incurred by us for such space in New York City is \$19,200 per month.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have been and are involved in various lawsuits, either as plaintiff or defendant, and have been and are the subject of various claims, in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these lawsuits and claims will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

12

Spitfire Merchants, Ltd. v. Globaltron Corporation f/k/a Win-Gate Equity Group, Inc., n/k/a Phone 1 Globalwide, Inc. was filed in June 5, 2001 in the Leventh Judicial Circuit Court in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida. The lawsuit seeks damages for alleged breach of contract in connection with the purchase of shares in Globaltron Corporation, a former name of Phone 1 Globalwide, Inc. Spitfire alleges that it was not given the correct amount of shares and that some of the shares it received were restricted, allegedly in violation of Spitfire's subscription agreement with Globaltron Corporation. Spitfire has sought damages in excess of \$1,000,000.00, which we disputed. In our answer, we asserted the affirmative defenses of failure to mitigate damages and failure of consideration. The case was settled, resulting in a voluntary dismissal filed on March 25, 2004. Under the settlement agreement, we paid Spitfire \$60,000, which sum was recorded as a charge in the Fiscal Year 2004.

Daleen Technologies, Inc. v. Phonel, Inc. and Globaltron Communications Corporation was filed on April 1, 2002 in the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit Court in and for Palm Beach County, Florida. Daleen sought damages in the approximate amount of \$700,000.00 for alleged breach of a software license agreement, specifically payment of monthly licensing and maintenance fees. Phonel denied the allegations and filed a counterclaim against Daleen seeking damages for breach of the software license agreement, breach of express warranty, breach of an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, money had and received, and unjust enrichment. Phonel sought damages in excess of \$1,000,000.00, which sum was comprised of (1) a refund of approximately \$520,000.00 for licensing fees paid to Daleen, and (2) \$500,000.00, representing the amounts paid by Phonel to a third party to assist in implementing and hosting the software licensed from Daleen. Daleen later amended its complaint to add GCC as a defendant and to add additional claims against Phonel. Phonel and GCC answered the amended complaint, denied the allegations and asserted affirmative defenses. Phonel and GCC amended their counterclaim to add claims (a) to reform the license agreement to conform to the parties' understanding regarding GCC's use of the software and (b) for declaratory judgment regarding the same issue. Daleen answered the amended complaint, denied the allegations and asserted affirmative defenses. The case was settled on August 5, 2003 and Phonel and GCC fulfilled their obligations under the settlement agreement as of February 5, 2004. Under the settlement agreement, we agreed to pay the vendor \$365,000, of which, \$250,000 was paid on August 19, 2003, \$57,500 was paid on October 27, 2003 and the balance of \$57,500 was paid on February 3, 2004, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum. We recorded a \$143,000 charge during Fiscal Year 2004.

Eric Pizza v. Phone1, Inc., Dario Echeverry, et al. was filed on March 14, 2002, in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade Color, Florida, seeking declaratory relief and damages for breach of contract in connection with Mr. Frizza's alleged 1.5% equity interest in Phone1. Both Phone1 and Echeverry, our Chief Executive Officer and member of our Board or Directors, filed answers denying that Frizza was entitled to any relief, other than payment he has already been paid for various services he performed for Phone1. Initial requests for production propounded to Echeverry have been answered. Thereafter, an order was entered requiring Frizza to show cause. The case was dismissed on May 16, 2003.

Captivad Media Corp. v. Motion Display Systems, Inc. and Phone1, Inc. was filed in the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Palm Beach County, Florida. In that complaint, Captivad sought damages for breach of contract regarding advertising done in the Sawgrass Mills Mall. There was an indemnification agreement between Motion Display Systems and Phone1, whereby Motion Display Systems agreed to defend Phone1 in this action and indemnify Phone1 against any judgments for Captivad or any other settlements. Motion Display Systems has affile at its obligations under that agreement, agreeing to defend and indemnify Phone1.

Fire Sign, Inc. v. Phone1, Inc.: In July 2002, Fire Sign, Inc. sought an injunction in the Southern District of Florida against Phone1 asserting claims of copyright infringement as to certain designs Fire Sign alleged that it created for Phone1. Phone1 moved to stay the case pending arbitration of Fire Sign's claims, basing its argument for arbitration on the language contained in the agreements between the parties. The court granted Phone1's motion to stay and Fire Sign filed a demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association. Phone1 answered the demand to arbitrate, denied the allegations and asserted affirmative defenses. Fire Sign quantified its damages at \$805,995, exclusive of attorneys' fees and costs. Phone1 filed a motion requesting summary disposition of Fire Sign's claims before the final hearing. However, before the arbitrator ruled on Phone1's motion, the parties amicably settled the matter on or about August 11, 2003. Under the settlement agreement, we paid to pay Fire Sign \$100,000 and issued, to the principal of Fire Sign 17,857 restricted shares of our common stock and we recorded a \$125,000 charge in Fiscal Year 2004.

13

Globaltron Communications Corporation vs NWT Partners, Ltd. was filed on April 21, 2003, in Miami-Dade County Circuit Court (Case No. 03-9655 CA 09), seeking a determination that GCC has been constructively evicted from its offices on the 25th floor of 100 N. Biscayne Blvd., Miami, Florida, due to poor ventilation and air quality. GCC also seeks damages for breach of lease and a declaratory judgment that, as a result of the constructive eviction, the lease for such space is terminated. Suit was filed following repeated complaints by GCC of air quality problems and the failure of the landlord to take corrective action. The suit is supported by an independent evaluation performed by GCC's environmental expert, concluding, among other things, that a lack of adequate ventilation in the premises has caused the poor air quality. GCC has a held payment of rent in accordance with Florida Statute Section 83.201; however, in accordance with a Court order rent is currently being paid into the Court Registry. The landlord has denied GCC's claims and has brought a separate action under the caption NWT Partners, Ltd. v. Globaltron Communications Corporation et al. in Miami-Dade County Court (Case No. 03-7563-CC-01) seeking to evict GCC from the premises and damages for GCC's alleged breach of lease resulting from its failure to pay rent. The landlord is seeking future rent payments over the balance of the lease term. The landlord's case has been transferred to the Court hearing GCC's constructive eviction claim, and the two cases have been consolidated. Discovery requests have been posted by GCC, but have not as yet been responded to. At this stage of the proceedings, no outcome can be determined. Discussions have commenced to resolve this dispute.

Phone I Globalwide, Inc. v. Chalom Arik Meimoun and Next Telecommunication, Inc. a/k/a Next Communication, Inc. was filed on March 15, 2004 in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida. In this action, we filed suit against Meimoun and Next Communication ("Next"), seeking damages for breaches under two loan agreements and related promissory notes and indemnification agreements, fraud in the inducement regarding those same documents and unjust enrichment. Contemporaneous with filing its complaint, we also sought prejudgment writs of garnishment of certain funds held by two different banks for Meimoun and Next. We sought damages in excess of \$1,000,000, which sum is comprised of (1) a refund of loans made to Meimoun and Next; (2) accrued interest, and (3) legal fees and costs. Before Meimoun and Next answered Phonel Globalwide's complaint, the parties amicably settled the matter on or about April 21, 2004 for the receipt of \$960,000 in cash and approximately \$155,000 of telecommunication services which would otherwise be owed by us to Next. As of March 31, 2004 we had a note receivable balance of approximately \$648,000.

Engin Yesil and Guvin Kivilcim v. Chalom Arik Meimoun and Phone 1 Global wide, Inc. was filed on March 9, 2004 in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida. Yesil and Kivilcim filed suit against Phone 1 Global wide, seeking a declaratory judgment that Phone 1 Global wide was somehow aware of certain fraudulent actions taken by Meimoun against Yesil and Kivilcim related to the investment in and purchase of shares of Next Telecommunication, Inc. Phone 1 Global wide moved to dismiss the complaint filed by Yesil and Kivilcim, but before that motion was heard by the court, the parties amicably settled the matter on or about April 21, 2004, resulting in a dismissal with prejudice and with us not required to pay any damages or any other amounts to Yesil and Kivilcim.

George G. Levin v. Chalom Arik Meimoun and Phone I Globalwide, Inc. was filed on March 11, 2004 in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida. Although Phone I Globalwide was not an original defendant, Levin amended his complaint to add Phone I Globalwide as a defendant, seeking a declaratory judgment that Phone I Globalwide was somehow aware of certain fraudulent actions taken by Meimoun against Levin related to the investment in and purchase of shares of Next Telecommunication, Inc. Before

Phone 1 Global wide was properly served with a copy of the complaint, the parties amicably settled the matter on or about April 21, 2004, resulting in a dismissal with prejudice and with Phone 1 Global wide not required to pay any damages or any other amounts to Levin.

APC Development Corp. and H. Weaver Jordon v. Phonel, Inc. relates to an agreement dated April 15, 2002 between Phonel and APC Development Corp. & H. Weaver Jordan ("third parties") for consulting and engineering services. Under the agreement the third parties were responsible for providing engineering services for network interface testing and system evaluations, prototype design and testing services for products and for the design and translation between LECs and IXCs systems that operate Coin Operated System and Regulated Payphones Systems. The third parties filed a claim against Phonel on March 18, 2004, claiming that Phonel breached the payment terms on the consulting and engineering services agreement, which in effect is a claim for non-payment of invoices. Additionally, they claim that because of the lack of payments and monies owed by Phonel, they are no longer responsible to living up to the terms and conditions of the contract; including whether they need to abide by the terms of the agreement as they relate to the technology developed under the consulting and engineering services agreement. On April 19, 2004, Phonel filed an affirmative defense and a counter-claim against the third parties. Phonel's counter-claim alleges that the third parties breached the consulting and engineering services agreement by not providing services that were paid by Phonel. Currently Phonel is conducting discovery by requesting production of documents and responding to interrogatories.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On July 4, 2003, two stockholders, owning in the aggregate 55,747,489 shares, or approximately 70%, of our common stock outstanding on that date, executed a written consent in lieu of meeting to (a) elect Louis Giordano, Dario Echeverry, Michael Spritzer and Frederic Z. Haller to our Board of Directors, to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified, and (b) ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent auditors for each of the years ended March 31, 2003 and March 31, 2004. We did not solicit proxies in connection with this stockholder action inasmuch as the two stockholders own in excess of the number of shares that would have been required to approve the action if a meeting had been held. The written consent in lieu of meeting became effective on or about August 25, 2003.

15

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market information

Our common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board (OTCBB) under the symbol PHGW.OB. Prior to September 25, 2001, our common stock traded as GBCP.OB. The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the high and low sales prices of our common stock. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown, or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

<u>Period</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
April 1, 2002 - June 30, 2002	\$1.23	\$0.22
July 1, 2002 - September 30, 2002	\$0.50	\$0.11
October 1, 2002 - December 31, 2002	\$1.04	\$0.11
January 1, 2003 - March 31, 2003	\$1.01	\$0.58
April 1, 2003 - June 30, 2003	\$1.80	\$0.55
July 1, 2003 - September 30, 2003	\$1.45	\$0.99
October 1, 2003 - December 31, 2003	\$1.40	\$0.99

Holders



At June 15, 2004, there were approximately 55 holders of record of our common stock. Many of our shares are held in "street name" and we believe the actual number of shareholders is significantly larger.

Dividends

We have never declared dividends on our common stock and have no present intention of declaring or paying dividends in the near future. We intend to retain earnings, if any, to finance our operations.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information relating to our outstanding stock option plans as of March 31, 2004:

16

		Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation Plan (excluding securities reflected in the first column)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$1.49		iO
Total	2,909,000	\$1.49	1,091,00	Ю

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENTS DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of trends in operating results for any future period.

17

General

We a United States based telecommunications company. Our current primary telecommunications offerings are coin sent services from public payphones we do not own and wholesale carrier services. Since the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2004 we also have begun to offer operator assisted calling and collect call services.

The coin sent services over public payphone are conducted by Phone1. The core product of Phone1 consists of direct dialed domestic and international long distance calls to most countries in the world for \$.25 to \$1.00. The duration of a call depends on its destination. The product is designed for use by immigrants and tourists, as a simple way to stay in touch with family and friends and is available from payphones in the United States. Each call is rated according to a preset pricing structure consisting of a number of minutes for the destination dialed by the customer.

We also offer telephone traffic to United States-based local and long distance (domestic and international) companies to termination points outside the United States. This business is conducted through GCC. Our switch facilities are capable of processing long distance calls as arbitrage sales and carrier sales. We currently have two main switch facilities, one located in New York, New York and the other in Miami, Florida. The two locations are interconnected by a backbone with different vendors for redundancy purposes. The switches support different types of signaling to accommodate our customers and vendors.

We have also successfully tested and begun the roll-out of operator assisted calling and collect call services in January 2003. The service is currently in place for payphones at airports in Atlanta, Georgia, Charlotte, North Carolina, Louisville, Kentucky and Greenville, South Carolina. The service can be programmed on various payphone types and we intend to roll out the services throughout the country including 50 airports that we currently provide Phonel services.

We are entering into strategic alliances with local telecommunication companies (PTTs) in the countries that have a substantial number of immigrants in the United States. We intend to launch in conjunction with different PTTs such as ETB in Colombia and Codetel in the Dominican Republic, telecom products for the specific immigrant groups in the United States.

We generate revenues primarily from our Phonel services and arbitrage sales. Our revenues are derived as follows:

• <u>Phone 1 services.</u> Revenues from Phone 1 services represented approximately 49.5% of our revenues for the Fiscal Year 2004. Most of our resources are being devoted to expansion of the Phone 1 business and we anticipate continued growth from this revenue source.

18

- Arbitrage sales. Arbitrage sales represented approximately 47.5% of our revenues for the Fiscal Year 2004
- Operator assisted calling and collect call sales. We commenced the roll-out of the services on January 2003 and revenues represented approximately 2.8% of our total revenues during Fiscal Year 2004.
- <u>Prepaid Calling Cards</u>. During Fiscal Year 2004, we ceased to actively promote the prepaid calling card business. Accordingly, our revenues for the sale of calling cards represented only approximately 0.2% of our total revenues for the Fiscal Year 2004.

Critical accounting policies

Use of estimates and critical accounting policies

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and expenses during the period. Future events and their effects cannot be determined with absolute certainty; therefore, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Actual results inevitably will differ from those estimates, and such differences may be material to our financial statements. Management continually evaluates its estimates and assumptions, which are based on historical experience and other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These accounting policies are described at relevant sections in this discussion and analysis and in the notes to the financial statements included herein.

Going concern

By reason of factors outlined in the attached report of independent registered public accounting firm, the auditors are of the belief that there is a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Management plans to address those issues as described in Note B of the fine all statements. There can be no assurance that management will be able to successfully implement its plans in which event, our business would be adversely affected and we may be required to curtail or cease our operations or planned expansion.

Revenue Recognition

During the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company derived revenues from carrier sales, arbitrage sales, Phone1 services and sales of prepaid calling cards.

Carrier sales occur when the Company transports an international long distance call to a foreign destination where the Company maintains a facility. Arbitrage sales occur when the Company transports an international long distance call to a foreign destination where the Company does not maintain a facility. Revenues from Phone1 services consist of direct dialed international and long distance calls made from Phone1-enabled pay telephones. The Company does not own the payphones. The calls are routed through the Company's switching facilities and designated networks. We invoice the payphone owner an amount, based upon the calls made. Revenues from calling cards consist of minutes used.

The Company's revenue is earned from carrier sales, arbitrage sales, Phonel services and prepaid calling cards when the service is provided. The service is provided as the customer uses the minutes. The revenue is earned based upon the number of minutes used during a call and is recorded upon completion of a call. Revenue for a period is calculated from information received through the Company's network switches.

Accounts receivable

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts at a level believed adequate by management to reflect the probable losses in the trade receivable due to customer default, insolvency, or bankruptcy. The provision is established by management using the customer credit history and other relevant factors, and is re-evaluated on a periodic basis. The allowance is increased by provisions to bad debt expense charged against income. All recoveries on trade receivables previously charged off are credited to the accounts receivable recovery account included in income, while direct charge-offs of trade receivables are deducted from the allowance.

19

Intangible assets and long lived assets

On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001.

Beginning April 1, 2002, we no longer amortize \$1.3 million of intangible assets identified as trade name and trademarks as these assets have been determined to have an indefinite live. We have completed an annual impairment test of all intangible assets with indefinite lives. Based on the annual impairment test, no impairment losses were required to be recorded. We require substantial capital to continue the development of its business. Because there can be no assurance that we will achieve profitable operations, we may, in the future, recognize a substantial impairment of our remaining intangible assets.

We that uate long-lived assets, including certain identifiable intangible assets held and used for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to significant under performance relative to projected operating results, significant changes in strategy for our overall business, and significant industry or economic trends.

Income taxes

We account for deferred taxes utilizing the liability method, which applies the enacted statutory rates in effect at the balance sheet date to differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The resulting deferred tax liabilities and assets are adjusted to reflect characteristic in tax laws. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred income tax assets to the extent of the likelihood that the deferred tax asset may not be realized. Utilization of net operating losses will be limited if an ownership change has occurred within the meaning of Internal Revenue Code Section 382.

Other

We make a number of other estimates in the ordinary course of business relating to litigation and other charges. Historically, past charges to these estimates have not had a material impact on our financial condition. However, circumstances could change which may alter further expectations.

Results of operations

Revenues

Revenues increased by 124%, from \$6.3 million in the year ended March 31, 2003 ("Fiscal Year 2003") to \$14.2 million in the Fiscal Year 2004. The increase was primarily the result of a (i) \$6.2 million increase in Phone1 sales which increased as a result of enabling additional payphones and providing domestic and long distance calls through the payphones during Fiscal Year 2004, (ii) \$1.9 million in arbitrage sales attributable to GCC which resulted from the increase in sale of terminating international long distance calls and (iii) a \$346,000 increase in sales of operator assisted calling and collect calls which we began to roll-out during Fiscal Year 2004.

The increases were partially offset by a \$563,000 decrease in sales from Phone1 Smart and prepaid calling cards sales in Fiscal Year 2004, many because the Company has ceased to actively promote the operations of Phone1 Smart and the prepaid calling card business.

20

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased by 76%, from \$7.3 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$12.8 million in Fiscal Year 2004.

Fixed recurring cost increased by 4%, from \$1,061,000 in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$1,106,000 in Fiscal Year 2004. The increase was primarily due to the charges for the toll-free network services that we utilize for our Phonel services.

Variable cost increased by 90%, from \$6.2 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$11.8 million in Fiscal Year 2004. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$3.5 million increase in service commission costs paid to payphone operators and attributable to the increased traffic volumes associated with revenue growth from Phone1 sales, \$1.7 million increase in arbitrage costs which increased as a result of the growth of arbitrage sales and \$240,000 increase in operator-assisted commissions which increased due to the growth in operator assisted phone calls through payphones.

Selling general and administrative expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased by 29% from \$6.7 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$8.7 million in Fiscal Year 2004. The increase is primarily due to professional services relating to the promotion and marketing of our Phone1 services. The increase in professional services during Fiscal Year 2004 was due to an increase in the amount of \$891,000 in public relations activities, \$204,000 increase in sales and

consulting services and \$501,000 increase in information technology consulting. In addition, salaries increased by approximately \$509,000 as a result of hiring of additional employees in the information technology and network department

Marketing and promotions expense

Marketing and promotion expense decreased by 16%, from \$2.4 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$2.0 million in Fiscal Year 2004. The decrease was due to the result of changes in our marketing philosophy and cost curtailment during Fiscal Year 2004.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense increased by 59%, from \$2.9 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$4.5 million in Fiscal Year 2004. The increase is primarily related to the purchase of additional fixed assets that are being used in Phone1.

Amortization

No amortization of intangible assets was recorded for Fiscal Year 2004 since we wrote off the intangible assets acquired in connection with the purchase of Phone1 during the year ended March 31, 2003.

Impairment of intangible assets

During Fiscal Year 2003, we performed a valuation of the intangible assets subject to amortization as of March 31, 2003. This valuation determined there was an impairment loss in the amount of \$11.0 million. The impairment loss existed as the carrying value of the intangible assets subject to amortization exceeded their fair value. An annual impairment test was done as of March 31, 2004 and there was no impairment for the remaining \$1.3 million of intangible assets.

21

Settlement of service agreement and claims

Settlement of service agreement increased by 39%, from \$237,000 in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$328,000 in Fiscal Year 2004. This increase is attributable to payment of settlement agreements with certain vendors.

Impairment of long-lived assets

We did not have any impairment of long-lived assets in Fiscal Year 2004. The impairment of \$539,000 in Fiscal Year 2003 occurred as a result of the loss or cessation of operations of fixed assets located overseas and no longer in use.

Net interest expense

Net interest expense decreased by 27%, from \$3.2 million in Fiscal Year 2003 to \$2.4 million in Fiscal Year 2004. The decrease was primarily attributable to a loan discount amortization during March 31, 2003 which resulted from the beneficial conversion feature on our convertible loan from GNB Bank. The loan discount amortization amounted to \$1.2 million in the year ended March 31, 2004 compared to \$1.69 million in the loan discount amortization amounted to \$1.2 million in the year ended March 31, 2003, a decrease of \$512,000.

Other income

Other income for the Fiscal Year 2003 amounted to \$173,000. We had reserved \$150,000 during Fiscal Year 2002 as a result of a disputed invoice from a vendor. During Fiscal Year 2003, we received a credit for the disputed amount from the vendor. No other income was recorded for Fiscal Year 2004.



Net (loss) and diluted earnings (loss) per share changed significantly from \$(36.8) million and \$(.79), respectively, for Fiscal Year 2003 compared to a net loss of \$(16.6) million and \$(.15), respectively, for Fiscal Year 2004. This significant change was primarily due to the impairment of intangible assets of \$11.0 and amortization of intangible assets of \$9.1 recorded in Fiscal Year 2003.

Liquidity and capital resources

Going Concern

By reason of factors outlined in the attached report of independent certified public accountants, the auditors are of the belief that there is a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Management plans to address those issues as described in Note B of the financial statements. There can be no assurance that management will be able to successfully implement its plans in which event, our business would be adversely affected and we may be required to curtail or cease our operations or planned expansion.

The costs associated with the initial setup and upgrades of our facilities located in New York City and Miami, Florida have been incurred and we do not presently anticipate significant capital expenditures for these facilities other then normal and recurring upgrades to provide our Phone 1 and other services. As we develop and roll out Phone 1 services in each of our markets, additional capital expenditures, marketing, advertising and net operating costs will be incurred. The amount of these costs will vary, based on the number of payphones served and the actual services provided, but are anticipated to be significant.

We used cash of \$10.1 million in our operations for Fiscal Year 2004 and \$7.7 million for investing activities compared to \$14.1 million and \$2.4 million, respectively in Fiscal Year 2004. During Fiscal Year 2004, we raised \$16.9 from financing activities compared to \$17.5 for Fiscal Year 2003.

We are incurring negative cash flows due, in major part, to the funding requirements for rolling out Phone 1 services and marketing, advertising, working capital and network expansion for our Phone 1 services. We expect to continue to incur negative cash flow for at least one year. We make no assurance that our networks or any of our other services will ever provide a revenue base adequate to sustain profitability or generate positive cash flow. During the fiscal year ending March 31, 2005, we projected that the amount of capital required for implementation and rollout of our Phone 1 business together with our marketing and other services, and to fund negative cash flow, including interest payments, will be at least \$26,000,000.

22

Marketing, advertising, developing, enhancing and rolling out new services, including under our agreements with SBC, Verizon, Qwest and Sprint, and expanding our network will also require substantial capital expenditures. The funding of these expenditures is dependent upon our ability to raise substantial equity and debt financing. We are actively seeking additional funding from a variety of sources, including potential issuances of our securities in one or more private transactions. However, we make no assurances that we will be able to obtain such financing or, if obtained, that it will be on terms profitable to us. We may incur substantial costs in obtaining financing and any equity financing will likely result in significant dilution of existing shareholders.



Debt with GNB Bank Panama S.A. (Former Related Party)

23

On September 30, 2002, GNB Bank exchanged a \$10 million convertible note, converted the outstanding balance of \$9,231,438 under an overdraft facility which was callable on demand by GNB Bank and provided additional cash to us of \$768,562 (used for working capital purposes) for a new \$20 million convertible note due October 31, 2003 (subject to acceleration in certain conditions).

The principal and interest of the \$20 million loan was convertible, in whole or in part, prior to or after the maturity date (if the loan was not fully paid), as elected by GNB Bank, into shares of our common stock at the rate of \$.40 per share. Our shares of common stock closed at the price of \$.11 per share on September 26, 2002, the last day prior to the date of closing of the \$20 Million Loan on which a trade was reported.

On November 26, 2002, Phone1 entered into a loan agreement with GNB Bank for a loan in the amount of \$5 million on terms substantially identical to the \$20 million loan, except that the maturity of the \$5 million loan was October 31, 2003 (subject to acceleration in certain conditions). Like the \$20 million loan, the \$5 Million Loan was convertible, in whole or in part, prior to or after the maturity date (if the loan was not fully paid), as elected by GNB Bank, into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$.40 per share.

24

On December 20, 2002, GNB Bank exercised (effective as of the close of business on that date), in part, its option and converted \$10 million print amount of the \$20 million loan at a price of \$.40 per share. Consequently, we issued 25,000,000 shares of its common stock to GNB Bank. In connection with the conversion, we issued a new \$10 million note to GNB Bank, on the same terms as the converted note, to replace the \$20 million note previously evidencing the \$20 million loan.

On February 17, 2003, GNB Bank extended a loan to Phone 1 in the amount of \$5 million on terms substantially identical to the \$20 million loan and the prior \$5 million loan, except that the maturity of this new loan was March 17, 2004 (subject to acceleration in certain conditions. Like the \$20 million loan and the \$5 million loan, this new loan was convertible, in whole or in part, prior to or after the maturity date (if the loan was not fully paid), as elected by GNB Bank, into shares of our common stock at the rate of \$.40 per share.

On September 30, 2003, Hispanic Telecommunications Holding, S.A., a Luxembourg company, ("HTH") purchased from GNB Bank all the outstanding notes issued to it. On the same date, HTH converted the notes, in accordance with their terms, into 50,000,000 shares of our common stock.

On September 30, 2003, HTH subscribed to, and purchased from us 11,061,947 restricted shares of our common stock for \$1.13 per share or \$12,500,000. Such subscription was accepted by us and such shares were issued to HTH as of September 30, 2003. The funds were used to pay in full the principal and interest under an overdraft facility issued by GNB bank and the balance was used as working capital and for the development and roll out of Phonel services.

Following the above described transactions, GNB Bank no longer owned any of our shares and prior to the transaction described below ceased to be a related party. HTH became our major shareholder. HTH currently owns 99,414,661 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 70% of our outstanding common stock. GNB no longer was a related party to the Company prior to the December 26, 2003 overdraft facility due to GNB sale of the Company stock and officer and director changes at GNB.

On December 26, 2003, we signed an Overdraft Facility ("the Overdraft Facility") with GNB Bank for \$3,000,000 with an interest rate of 12% per annum. The Overdraft Facility expires on December 27, 2004. On March 31, 2004, Phone 1, Inc. entered into an agreement with GNB Bank to settle the outstanding overdraft facility in the amount of \$4,546,977. GNB bank released Phone 1 and its affiliates from the obligation to pay the outstanding balance of the overdraft facility in consideration for the sum of \$113,602. As a result, we recorded \$4.4 million adjustment to

additional paid in capital to reflect the capital characteristic of this transaction. See "Item 8A. Controls and Procedures. (b) Changes in



Preferred stock

Internal Controls."

A total of 10,000,000 shares of our preferred stock have been designated as "Series A 8% Convertible Preferred Stock" (the "Series A Preferred"). The Series A Preferred is entitled to receive dividends at the rate of 8% per annum only if declared by our Board of Directors. Upon our liquidation or dissolution (including certain deemed liquidations) the holders of the Series A Preferred are entitled to receive an amount equal to the amount paid for such stock plus any accrued but unpaid dividends before any amounts are distributed to the holders of any junior stock (including our common stock). Holders of the Series A Preferred are entitled to one vote per share and, except in certain limited circumstances, vote together with the holders of our common stock. Certain actions may not be undertaken without the separate vote of the holders of at least 70% of the then outstanding Series A Preferred, including without limitation (i) the issuance of any security senior or on parity to the Series A Preferred, (ii) any merger or consolidation or any sale of all or substantially all of our assets, (iii) our entering into material joint venture or similar arrangement, other than in the ordinary course of business, and (iv) making any changes to our stock option plan and any grants thereunder. Shares of the Series A Preferred are convertible into shares on common stock initially on a one for one basis. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain dilutive stock issuances and in the event of stock splits, reclassifications and the like. As of March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003, we had accumulated \$0 and \$2.0 million as preferred dividend. However, no dividend has been declared by the board.

On June 12, 2003, GNB Bank converted all of the 7.0 million shares of Series A Preferred Stock owned by it into 10,852,714 shares of common stock at the adjusted conversion price of \$.645 per share. On the same day, Premium Quality Fund converted all of the 2.0 million shares of Series A Preferred Stock owned by it into 3,100,775 shares of common stock at the adjusted conversion price of \$.645 per share.

Curely, there are no holders of Series A Preferred Stock.

Sale of Common Stock

On May 25, 2004, WINSIDE Investments (Panama) S.A. subscribed to, and purchased from the Company 3,571,428 restricted shares of its common stock for \$1.40 per shares or \$5,000,000.

Vendor Arrangements

On April 4, 2002, we terminated a telecommunication service agreement with a vendor pursuant to which the vendor agreed to release us of all claims for the outstanding balance that would have been paid over the life of the agreement of approximately \$3.9 million owed to the vendor and the execution of a new co-location agreement with the vendor. We did not incur any penalties as a result of the early termination. We entered into a new agreement with the vendor for equipment racks to house our equipment. Under the new agreement, we are required to pay for services totaling \$768,000 at a rate of \$19,200 per month, through August 9, 2005.

On June 28, 2002, we reached a settlement agreement with another vendor to terminate all agreements and the vendor released us of all claims for then outstanding balance that would have been paid over the life of the agreement of approximately \$906,000 owed to the vendor. Under the settlement we paid \$150,000 at the signing of the agreement and the vendor retained the deposit of approximately \$46,000. In addition, we agreed to pay \$10,000 a month for 18 months starting on December of 2002. In the event we order new services from the vendor the monthly, payments of \$10,000 are to be applied against the new services.

Off balance sheet arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

IT 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The report of Grant Thornton LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, dated May 27, 2004, and our consolidated financial statements are filed as part of this Form 10-KSB and are set forth on pages F-1 to F-28.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note B to the financial statements, we have experienced a net loss of \$16.6 million for the Fiscal Year 2004. Additionally, we used cash of \$10.1 in our operations for the Fiscal Year, 2004. These factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans about these matters are also described in Note B. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries

Financial Statements

CONTENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity (Deficit)	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED

PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders



Phone 1 Global wide, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Phonel Globalwide, Inc. and Subsidiaries ("the Company"), as of March 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. The company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Phone1 Globalwide, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note F to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" on April 1, 2002.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note B to the financial statements, the Company has experienced a net loss of \$16,590,231 for the year ended March 31, 2004. Additionally, the Company's current liabilities exceeded its current assets by \$1,311,532 at March 31, 2004 and the Company used cash of \$10,110,399 in its operations for the year ended March 31, 2004. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans about these matters are also described in Note B. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.



/s/ Grant Thornton, LLP

Miami, Florida

May 27, 2004

Current assets

F-2

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

March 31,

2004

2003

ASSETS

MOOE 10

\$389,066

\$1,205,284

Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$293,801 and \$236,253 at March 31,			
2004 and 2003, respectively	993,948	863,170	
Note receivable	647,839	-	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	577,907	885,093	
tal current assets	2,608,760	2,953,547	
Pro by and equipment, net	9,658,638	7,188,972	
Deposits and other	1,130,592	1,304,244	
Intangible Assets	1,328,559	1,328,559	
Total assets	\$ 14,726,549	\$12,775,322	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 1,428,838	\$1,688,167	
Convertible loan payable to bank – GNB Bank			
(net of \$-0- and \$1,181,531 discount in March 2004 and 2003, respectively)	-	18,818,469	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	2,491,454	1,929,123	
Total current liabilities	\$ 3,920,292	\$22,435,759	
Stockholders' equity			
Series A 8% Convertible Preferred stock, par value of \$.001, 10,000,000 shares authorized; -0-	-	9,000	
and 9,000,000 issued as of March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003, respectively			
Common stock, par value of \$.001, 200,000,000 shares			
authorized; 141,206,995 and 66,128,702 shares issued			
and outstanding as of March 31, 2004 and			
March 31, 2003, respectively	141,207	66,128	
Additional paid-in capital	133,019,846	96,029,000	
Accumulated deficit	(122,354,796) (105,764,565)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	10,806,257	(9,660,437)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 14,726,549	\$12,775,322	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-3

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended March 31,

	2004	2003	
Net Revenue	\$ 14,150,177	\$6,303,193	
Expenses			
Cost of sales	12,819,821	7,275,856	
General and administrative	8,676,847	6,704,957	
Marketing and promotions	2,029,825	2,415,755	
Depreciation	4,523,897	2,851,958	
Intangible asset amortization	-	9,086,275	-
Impairment of intangible assets	. -	10,959,341	
Impairment of long lived assets	-	539,270	
Settlement of service agreements and claims	328,133	236,500	
Operating loss	\$ (14,228,346) \$ (33,766,719)
Other income (expense)			
Interest expense, net	(2,361,885) (3,242,926)
Other income	-	173,450	

Personal De SUCIEDA CONTRACTOR

Loss before provision for income tax	\$ (16,590,231) \$(36,836,195)
Income tax benefit	-	-	
Net Loss	\$ (16,590,231) \$(36,836,195)
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	(0.15) (0.79)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	(0.15) (0.79)
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding:			
Basic	108,049,911	48,075,551	
Diluted	108,049,911	48,075,551	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-4

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

For the Years Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003

		Series A 8%	ı					
	Shares of Preferred Stock	Convertible Preferred Stock	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capita <u>l</u>	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	
Balance at March 31, 2002	9,000,000	\$ 9,000	41,078,702	\$41,078	\$83,141,611	\$ (68,928,370) \$14,263,319	
Issuance of common stock for conversion of debt	-	•	25,000,000	25,000	9,975,000	-	10,000,000	
Issuance of common stock to settle service agreement	-	-	50,000	50	6,450	-	6,500	
Issuance of common stock options to consultant	•	-	-	-	30,939		30,939	
Discount on convertible debt	-	-	•	-	2,875,000	•	2,875,000	
Net loss	-	•	-	-		(36,836,195) (36,836,195)
Balance at March 31, 2003	9,000,000	\$9,000	66,128,702	\$66,128	\$ 96,029,000	\$ (105,764,565) \$ (9,660,437)
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(9,000,000) (9,000) 13,953,489	13,954	(4,954)		
Compensation for professional services received from board member				-	45,000		45,000	
Issuance of common stock for conversion of debt			50,000,000	50,000	19,950,000		20,000,000	
Sale of common stock			11,061,947	11,062	12,488,938		12,500,000	
Issuance of common stock for loss on settlement			17,857	18	24,982		25,000	
Issuance of common stock to settle service agreement			45,000	45	53,505		53,550	
Settlement of loan overdraft Note H					4,433,375		4,433,375	
Net loss		-		-	•	(16,590,231) (16,590,231)
Balance at March 31, 2004	-	•	141,206,995	\$141,207	\$ 133,019,846	\$ (122,354,796) \$10,806,257	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended March 31,

	2004	2003	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (16,590,231) \$ (36,836,195)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities			
Impairment of intangible assets	· -	10,959,341	
Compensation for professional services	45,000	-	
Compensation related to stock options	-	30,939	
Compensation paid in common stock	53,550	-	
Impairment of long lived assets	-	539,270	
Loss on settlement of service agreement	25,000	236,500	
Depreciation and amortization	4,523,897	11,938,234	
Provision for bad debts	73,848	265,430	
Convertible loan discount amortization	1,181,531	1,693,469	
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Increase in accounts receivable	(204,626) (908,378)
Decrease/(increase) in deposit and other assets	171,444	(517,169)
Decrease/(increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	307,186	(709,803)
Decrease in accounts payable	(259,329) (104,848)
Increase/(decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities	562,331	(575,877)
(Decrease)/increase in bank overdraft	•	(65,127)
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (10,110,399) \$ (14,054,214)
Cash flows from investing activities:			•
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(6,991,355) (2,397,370)
Notes receivable	(647,839) -	
Net cash used in investing activities	S (7,639,194) \$ (2,397,370)
Cash flows from financing activities:	•		•
Proceeds from loans - GNB Bank	-	30,000,000	
Payment on refinanced loans - GNB Bank	· •	(10,000,000)
Pay on equipment note payable	-	(1,500,000)
Process from overdraft facilities – GNB Bank	15,162,948	12,982,748	•
Payments on overdraft facilities - GNB Bank	(10,729,573) (14,000,000)
Proceeds from line of credit	1,500,000	-	,
Payments on line of credit	(1,500,000) -	
Proceeds from sale of 11,061,947 shares of common stock	12,500,000	′ -	
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 16,933,375	\$ 17,482,748	
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(816,218) 1,031,164	
Cash at beginning of year	1,205,284	174,120	
Cash at end of year	\$ 389,066	\$ 1,205,284	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-6

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - CONTINUED

For the Years Ended March 31,

2004 2003

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the year for:

Taxes

Interest

\$- \$

\$887,214 \$1,577,246



Non-cash investing and financing activity:

For the twelve months ended March 31, 2004, the Company entered into the following non-cash transactions

On March 31, 2004, Phone 1, Inc. entered into an agreement with GNB Bank to settle the outstanding overdraft facility in the amount of \$4,546,977. GNB bank released Phone 1 and its affiliates from the obligation to pay the outstanding balance of the overdraft facility in consideration for the sum of \$113,602. As a result, the Company recorded a \$4,433,375 adjustment to additional paid in capital to reflect the capital characteristic of this transaction.

On March 8, 2004, the Company issued 45,000 shares of common stock in exchange for professional services.

On September 30, 2003, Hispanic Telecommunication Holding, S.A., a Luxemburg company, ("HTH") purchased from GNB Bank (Panama) S.A. ("GNB") \$20 million of face amount convertible promissory notes issued by the Company on November 26, 2002, December 31, 2002, and February 17, 2003. On the same date, HTH converted the notes, in accordance with their terms, into 50 million shares of common stock of the Company.

As of September 30, 2003, the Company entered into an agreement to settle the lawsuit with Fire Sign. Under the settlement agreement, the Company paid Fire Sign \$100,000 and issued to the principal of Fire Sign, 17,857 restricted shares of common stock of the Company. As a result of this settlement, the Company recorded a \$125,000 charge in the year ended March 31, 2004.



On June 12, 2003, GNB Bank Panama, S.A. converted 7,000,0000 shares of the Company's Series A preferred stock into 10,852,714 shares of common stock at the adjusted conversion price of \$.645 per share. On the same day, Premium Quality Fund converted 2,000,000 shares of Series A preferred stock into 3,100,775 shares of common stock at the adjusted conversion price of \$.645 per share. The converted shares represented all of the Company's outstanding preferred stock.

The Company recorded \$45,000 for services provided by a board member. These services were provided without charge.

For the twelve months ended March 31, 2003, the Company entered into the following non-cash transactions:

On December 20, 2002, GNB Bank exercised (effective as of the close of business on that date), in part, its option and converted \$10 million principal amount of the \$20 Million Loan at a price of \$.40 per share. Consequently, the Company issued 25,000,000 shares of its common stock (the "Conversion Shares") to GNB Bank.

On February 17, 2003, GNB Bank exercised an option granted to it under the \$20 Million Dollar Loan and extended a loan to Phone1 in the amount of \$5 million (the "Additional \$5 Million Loan"). The \$20 Million Loan agreement provided that GNB Bank could elect to convert the Additional \$5 Million Loan into common stock of the Company at \$.40 per share. On February 17, 2003, the fair market value of the common stock of the Company was higher then the conversation price, resulting in a beneficial conversion feature and discount of \$2,875,000.

On November 12, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with a vendor to resolve any claims between the two parties. The Company paid \$50,000 in cash and issued 50,000 shares of common stock which were valued at \$6,500. The value of the shares was the fair value on the data when the transaction.

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTA - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Description of Business

We are a United States based telecommunications company. We currently have two main switch facilities, one located in New York, NY and the other in Miami, Florida. Our current primary telecommunications offerings are coin sent services from public payphones and wholesale carrier services., The Company maintains operating agreements that deliver services in many countries throughout the world using third-party networks.

Phone1Globalwide, Inc. formerly known as Globaltron Corporation and as Win-Gate Equity Group, Inc. (the "Company"), was reincorporated as a Delaware corporation on September 25, 2001. The Company was initially organized on May 17, 1996 in Florida, to locate and effect business combinations with existing businesses.

On January 21, 2000, the Company consummated a stock purchase agreement with all of the shareholders of Globaltron Communications Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Globaltron" or "GCC") whereby the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding GCC shares. As a result, GCC became a wholly owned subsidiary.

On June 13, 2001, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Phone1, Inc., a Florida corporation ("Phone1"), a telecommunications marketing company, for 12.0 million restricted shares of our common stock. As a result, Phone1 became a wholly owned subsidiary.

On November 16, 2001, in connection with the formation of Phone1 Smart LLC, a limited liability company ("Phone1 Smart"), organized und the Delaware limited liability act, the Company entered into an operating agreement with MTG Interconnection LC ("MTG"), a Florida limited liability company. The Company owns 51% of the interests in Phone1 Smart. The 51% interest in Phone1 Smart is being consolidated into the financial statements of Phone1Globalwide, Inc. Phone1 Smart has not provided any substantial services during the fiscal year end of March 31, 2004 and its results of operations were immaterial compared to our consolidated results of operations.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PhonelGlobalwide, Inc. and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries. All significant inter company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are primarily from arbitrage sales and Phonel services. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts at a level which management believes is sufficient to cover potential credit losses. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts at a level believed adequate by management to reflect the probable losses in the trade receivable due to customer default, insolvency, or bankruptcy. The provision is established by management using the customer credit history and other relevant factors, and is re-evaluated on a periodic basis. The allowance is increased by provisions to bad debt expense charged against income. All recoveries on trade receivables preceivables are deducted from the allowance.

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Concentration of Market Risk

As of March 31, 2004 and 2003, \$16,849 and \$1,128,780 of cash, respectively, was located in GNB Bank Panama, The Company has not experienced any losses on its cash deposits.

During the fiscal year end March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company derived 38% and 4.5%, respectively, of its total revenues from one source.

Property and Equipment

Depreciation and amortization are provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the initial cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lives of the respective leases or the service lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter. Leased property under capital leases is amortized over the lives of the respective leases or over the service lives of the assets for those leases that substantially transfer ownership. Other equipment is depreciated from three to five years. The straight-line method of depreciation is followed for substantially all assets for financial reporting purposes. Cost and accumulated depreciation of assets returned or retired are removed from the respective property accounts, and the gain or loss is reflected in the statements of operations.

Intax ole Assets and Long Lived Assets

On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001.

Beginning April 1, 2002, the Company no longer amortizes \$1.3 million of intangible assets identified as trade name and trademarks as these assets have been determined to have an indefinite live. The Company has completed an annual impairment test as of April 1, 2004 of all intangible assets with indefinite lives. Based on the annual impairment test, no impairment losses were required to be recorded. The Company requires substantial capital to continue the development of its business. Because there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve profitable operations, the Company may, in the future, recognize a substantial impairment of its remaining intangible assets.

The Company evaluates long-lived assets, including certain identifiable intangible assets held and used for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers important which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to significant under performance relative to projected operating results, significant changes in strategy for the Company's overall business, and significant industry or economic trends.

F-9

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements



The Company accounts for deferred taxes utilizing the liability method, which applies the enacted statutory rates in effect at the balance sheet

date to differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The resulting deferred tax liabilities and assets are adjusted to reflect changes in tax laws. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred income tax assets to the extent of the likelihood that the deferred tax asset may not be realized.

Advatising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Total advertising expense was approximately \$635,000 and \$1,485,000 for fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the period. Future events and their effects cannot be determined with absolute certainty; therefore, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Actual results inevitably will differ from those estimates, and such differences may be material to the financial statements. Management continually evaluates its estimates and assumptions, which are based on historical experience and other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Management believes that a higher degree of judgment or complexity may occur in estimating collectibility of accounts receivable, assessing useful lives of assets, valuation allowance on deferred taxes and estimates relating to litigations..

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company estimates that the fair value of its financial instruments approximates the carrying value of its financial instruments at March 31, 2004 and 2003.



Stock Based Compensation

At March 31, 2004, the Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, (the Plan) which is described more fully in Note N. The Company accounts for this plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for stock issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation (in thousands, except per share data):

	2004	2003	
Net loss as reported	\$ (16,590) \$ (36,836)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all	\$ (1,585) \$(1,073)
awards, net of related tax effects			
Pro forma net loss	\$ (18,175) \$(37,909)
Basic and diluted loss per share - as reported	\$ (0.15) \$(0.79)
Basic and diluted loss per share - pro forma	\$ (0.17) \$ (0.81)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company grants stock options for a fixed number of shares to employees, directors and consultants with an exercise price equal to at least 100 most the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provision of the Statement of Find al Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, which permits the Company to account for stock option grants in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Under APB 25, compensation expense is recorded when the exercise price of the Company's employee stock option is less than the market price of the underlying stock at the date of grant.

The above pro forma disclosures may not be representative of the effects on reported net earnings for future years as some of the options vest over several years and the Company may continue to grant options to employees. In addition, the above calculations include provisions for Series A Preferred Stock dividend in the amount of \$0 and \$1,120,000 in March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Net Loss Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The total number of such weighted average shares was 108,049,911 and 48,075,551 for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share are based on the assumption that all dilutive potential common shares, preferred shares and dilutive stock options were converted at the beginning of the year. For the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, 1,374,000 options and -0- and 50,000,000 shares potentially convertible from the convertible loan payable to bank were not included in common stock equivalents because their inclusion would be antidilutive. The preferred shares are also not included as a common stock equivalent in the year ended March 31, 2003, as their inclusion would be antidilutive. The preferred shares equate to -0- and 13,953,489 of potential convertible shares for the years ending March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2003 amounts to conform to the 2004 presentation.

Revenue Recognition

During the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company derived revenues from carrier sales, arbitrage sales, Phone1 services and sales of prepaid calling cards.

Carrier sales occur when the Company transports an international long distance call to a foreign destination where the Company maintains a facility. Arbitrage sales occur when the Company transports an international long distance call to a foreign destination where the Company does not maintain a facility. Revenues from Phone1 services consist of direct dialed international and long distance calls made from Phone1-enabled pay telephones. The Company does not own the payphones. The calls are routed through the Company's switching facilities and designated networks. We invoice the payphone owner an amount, based upon the calls made. Revenues from calling cards consist of minutes used.

The Company's revenue is earned from carrier sales, arbitrage sales, Phonel services and prepaid calling cards when the service is provided. The service is provided as the customer uses the minutes. The revenue is earned based upon the number of minutes used during a call and is recorded upon completion of a call. Revenue for a period is calculated from information received through the Company's network switches.

F-1

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51." FIN 46 requires certain variable interest entities to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 is effective immediately for all new variable interest entities created or acquired after January 31, 2003. For variable interest entities created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 must be applied for the first interim or annual period ending after December 15, 2003. The adoption of FIN 46 to date has not had any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2003, SFAS No. 149 "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" was issued which amends and clarifies the accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. It requires, among other things, that contracts with comparable characteristics be accounted for similarly and clarifies under what circumstances a contract with an initial net investment meets the characteristic of a derivative and when a derivative contains a financing component that warrants special reporting in the statement of cash flows. SFAS No. 149 is effective generally for contracts entered into and modified after June 30, 2003. Because the Company presently has no derivative instruments, the adoption did not have any effect on its financial statements or disclosures.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" which was effective for the Company on December 15, 2002. SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair-value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both the annual and interim financial statements about the Company's method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effects of the method used on reported results. Adoption of SFAS No. 148 did not have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or cash flows of the Company.

During May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity". SFAS No. 150 clarifies the accounting for certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity and requires that those instruments be classified as liabilities in the statement of financial position. Previously, many of those financial instruments were classed as equity. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a significant impact on our operating results or financial position.

NOTE B - OPERATIONAL MATTERS

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company experienced a net loss of \$16,590,231 for the year ended March 31, 2004. Additionally, the Company's current liabilities exceeded its current assets by \$1,311,532 at March 31, 2004 and the Company used cash of \$10,110,399 in its operations for the year ended March 31, 2004. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

F-12

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon future profitable operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financing requirements on a continuing basis, to maintain present financing, and to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence.

In response to the matters described in the preceding paragraphs, the Company intends to actively seek additional funding from a variety of sources, including potential issuances of Company's securities in one or more private transactions. Management believes that this additional financing will allow the Company to rigorously pursue its expansion efforts in the coming year and that this expansion will strengthen the Company's cash flow position to provide the Company with the ability to continue in existence. However, the Company is unable to predict

whether it will be able to obtain such financing or if obtained, that it will be on terms advantageous to the Company.

NOTE C - INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE



During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003, the Company wrote off approximately \$204,000 for equipment, originally purchased in connection with the Company's agreement with Schwartz Enterprises, its Joint Venture Partner. Schwartz Enterprises also became a distributor of the Company's prepaid phone cards. Payment terms were extended to Schwartz Enterprises for the phone cards delivered by the Company. As of March 31, 2003, the remaining balance of approximately \$120,000 due and payable from Schwartz Enterprises was written-off.

NOTE D - NOTE RECEIVABLE

On January 12, 2004, the Company signed a non binding letter of intent with Chalom Arik Meimoun (President and Majority Shareholder of Next Communications, Inc. ("Next"))and Next Communications, Inc. to purchase 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Next, in exchange for shares of the Company in the amount to be agreed.

In addition, on January 12, 2004, Mr. Meimoun, issued a promissory note in favor of the Company in the amount of \$700,000 at an annual interest rate of 6 percent due on March 31, 2004. He pledged 70 percent of the outstanding shares of Next as collateral.

On January 29, 2004, Next issued a promissory note in favor of the Company in the amount of \$350,000 at an annual interest rate of 6 percent due on March 31, 2004 guaranteed by Mr. Meimoun. Mr Meimoun increased the collateral for both loan obligations to 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Next as collateral.

On such 15, 2004, the Company filed suit against Meimoun and Next in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florea, seeking damages for breaches of two loan agreements and related promissory notes and indemnification agreements, fraud in the inducement regarding those same documents, and unjust enrichment. Before Meimoun and Next answered the Company's complaint, the parties amicably settled the matter on or about April 21, 2004 for the receipt of \$960,000 and approximately \$155,000 for services received by the Company from Next. As of March 31, 2004, the Company had a notes receivable balance of approximately \$648,000.

F-13

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE E - FIXED ASSETS

Property and equipment consisted of the following at March 31:

2003
1,060,131
55,384
1,862,654
12,125,802
15,103,971
(7,914,999)
\$ 7,188,972

During the year ended March 31, 2003, the Company recorded an impairment charge for the remaining balance related to certain other assets of

approximately \$335,000 to reduce their cost to an estimate of fair market value. The amount is included in the impairment of long-lived assets in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

F-14

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE F - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001.

Beginning April 1, 2002, the Company no longer amortizes \$1.3 million of intangible assets identified as trade name and trademarks as these assets have been determined to have an indefinite life. The Company has completed an annual impairment test as of April 1, 2004 of all intangible assets with indefinite lives. Based on the annual impairment test, no impairment losses were required to be recorded.

The Company requires substantial additional capital to continue the development of its business. Because there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve profitable operations, the Company may, in the future, recognize a substantial impairment of its remaining intangible assets.

In accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company performed a financial analysis of the intangible assets subject to amortization as of March 31, 2003. This analysis determined there was an impairment loss in the amount of \$10,959,000. The impairment loss existed as the carrying value of translated assets subject to amortization exceed its fair value. The fair value was determined using estimates of future cash flows directly associated with and expected to arise as a direct results of the use and eventual disposition of the intangible assets subject to amortization. The estimates of future cash flows incorporated the Company's own assumptions about the use of the asset and considered all available evidence. As the intangible assets subject to amortization were determined to have a remaining useful life through June 30, 2004, the estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability were made through June 30, 2004. The estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability through June 30, 2004 indicated the intangible assets subject to amortization were impaired and an impaired loss in the amount of \$10,959,000 was recorded in March 31, 2003. The Company determined, based on future projections, that the reseller agreements, which comprise the significant amount of the intangible assets subject to amortization, were not going to produce positive cash flow through June 30, 2004 due to the delays in rolling out the Phone 1 services to the payphones associated with the reseller agreements and the additional selling, marketing and early stage operational costs for payphones associated with the those reseller agreements. In preparing this analysis in accordance with SFAS 144, the Company included only those reseller agreements that were in place or in process at the Phone 1 acquisition date. The customer agreements that were not in place or in process at the acquisition were not

F-15

Phone1Globalwide Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE G-ACCRUED LIABILITIES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Collected accrued expenses and other current liabilities of the Company consisted the following:

March 31.

2004

2003